

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,110	09/09/2003	Anthony I-Chih Chou	FIS920030228US1	2109
32074 75	90 04/13/2004		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			KESHAVAN, BELUR V	
DEPT. 18G	1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG. 300-482 2070 ROUTE 5			2825	
	UNCTION, NY 12533		DATE MAILED: 04/13/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11
	10/605,110	CHOU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Belur V Keshavan	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence addi	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and a lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the period by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	9 September 2003.	•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ 7	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,5,7,9,10,12,15 and 20 is/are respectively. 7) Claim(s) 2,4, 6,8, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18 and 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction and subject to restriction. 	drawn from consideration. ejected. d <u>19</u> is/are objected to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	••
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		•	` ′
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	(08) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the following features must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

In claim 4, the feature "forming a capacitor dielectric mask over said NFET area and said PFET area prior to beginning nitridation of a capacitor dielectric layer in a capacitor region, then performing capacitor dielectric nitridation thereof, and immediately thereafter removing said capacitor dielectric mask, and forming an FET mask over a previously formed capacitor region prior to beginning nitridation of said gate oxide layer in said NFET area and said PFET area, then performing FET gate dielectric nitridation thereof, and immediately thereafter removing said FET mask" is not shown in the drawings.

In claim 11, the feature "forming a capacitor dielectric mask over said NFET area and said PFET area prior to beginning nitridation of a capacitor dielectric layer in a capacitor region, then performing capacitor dielectric nitridation thereof, and immediately thereafter removing said capacitor dielectric mask, and forming an FET mask over a previously formed capacitor region prior to beginning nitridation of said gate oxide layer in said NFET area and said PFET area, then performing FET gate dielectric nitridation thereof, and immediately thereafter removing said FET mask" is not shown in the drawings.

Therefore, the above features must be shown or the features canceled from the claims 4 and 11. No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 2825

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Objection To Specification

The specification is objected under 37 CFR 1.75(d) as failing to disclose an equal concentration of nitrogen in NFET and PFET areas. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 7, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph.

In claim 1, the nitrogen concentration in PFET and NFET gate oxide areas are different and in claims 3 and 10 the nitrogen concentration in PFET and NFET gate oxide areas are the same. Therefore a non-sequitor exists.

Claims 7 and 15 are rejected as being dependent upon indefinite claim.

Claims 3 and 10 recites the limitation "the other of said regions" in lines 4 and 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2825

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 5, 9, 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Trivedi et al. (U. S. Patent 6,541,395).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 9, 12 and 20 Trivedi et al. disclose the following:

In column 3 lines 24-63, and figure 1-7 a method of forming CMOS semiconductor materials with a PFET area (12) and a NFET area (14) formed on a semiconductor substrate (10).

In column 2 and lines 20-21, 29-30 and lines 45-52, a PFET gate dielectric (28) and a NFET gate dielectric (32) composed of silicon oxide with different degrees of nitridation.

In column 5 lines 41-44, Trivedi et al. also disclose that NFET gate dielectric layer and PFET gate dielectric layer can have same thickness.

Trivedi et al. do not disclose specifically nitridation of NFET gate dielectric. However in column 5 and lines 36-37, Trivedi et al. disclose that NFET gate dielectric with nitrogen concentration less than or equal to 0.1% molar and in column 2 and lines 48-50, PFET gate dielectric with nitrogen concentration of 0.1% molar to 10% molar. Therefore nitridation occurring in some form on NFET gate dielectric is at least suggested in Trivedi et al. Trivedi et al. also disclose that nitrogen concentration in PFET gate dielectric and in NFET gate dielectric

Control Manifect. 10/005,11

Art Unit: 2825

are different and nitrogen concentration in PFET gate oxide layer is higher than that of NFET gate oxide layer.

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate teachings of Trivedi et al. in forming a semiconductor device with NFET and PFET devices with the object of optimizing the electrical properties of thin gate dielectrics of PFET and NFET devices.

Claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The primary reason for the indication of allowability of Claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18 and 19 is the inclusion therein, in combination as currently claimed, of the limitation of a method of forming CMOS semiconductor materials with PFET area and NFET area comprising capacitor wherein the properties of the gate dielectric are tuned with different levels of nitridation of the gate dielectric.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Belur V Keshavan whose telephone number is 571-272-1894. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bvk. Volk. March 25, 2004.

Belur V. Keshavan. Examiner. Art Unit 2825. Page 6

MATTHEW SMITH

WEAR SON PATENT EUROS. EN

WEAR CONTROL OF CENTER EUROS.